

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,564	08/30/2001	Makoto Urade	0020-4895P	6156	
2292 . 75	12/02/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 MAPLES, JOHN S			JOHN S		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1745		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· 	AD II
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
_	09/941,564	URADE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	* 11
	John S. Maples	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addi	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 September 2003 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> i s/ are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/ are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2001 is	/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ o	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09</u> \$		pproved b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		tage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO	

Application/Control Number: 09/941,564 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

Newly submitted claims 12-21 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 12-14 fall within Group II as outlined in Paper No. 7; claims 15-17 fall within Group III and claims 18-21 fall within Group IV. These newly added claims are distinct from the Group I claim 1 for the same reasons that claims 2-4 fall within Group II; claims 5-7 fall within Group III and claims 8-11 fall within Group IV as outlined in Paper No. 7 in the present application. In addition, the Group I claim 1 was amended to recite the cathode made of a mixture of manganese dioxide and graphite, which limitation is not part of any of the other Groups II-IV.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 12-21 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 2. Claims 2-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Applicant timely traversed the restriction requirement in Paper No. 8.
- This application contains claims 2-21 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 09/941,564

Art Unit: 1745

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ferraro et al. (Ferraro)

Reference is made to paragraph 8 of Ferraro along with paragraphs 2-3, 8, 17, 34 and Figures 1-6, with particular note to Figures 1 and 6. In these portions of Ferraro, the claimed thickness of less than 0.18 mm for the lower part of the can is shown and the thickness of the sealing portion of the can in Ferraro being of a value 1.4 times the thickness of the lower part of the can is also taught.

Applicant's arguments have all been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant argues that Ferraro does not teach the cathode made of manganese dioxide and graphite. Reference is made to paragraphs 3 and 34 of Ferraro where a cathode for the claimed battery comprises manganese dioxide and graphite. Applicant's arguments relating to the application of the Ferraro patent are believed to be adequately traversed.

6. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the sealing portion of the can that is 1.4 times the thickness of the lower part of the can must be shown or the features canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Applicant argues that the drawings do not have to be amended because they do not have to be to scale. This may be true, however, the Patent Rules require that the drawings must show

Application/Control Number: 09/941,564

Art Unit: 1745

the claimed subject matter. Therefore, the sealing portion must be depicted of a an approximate size one and one-half times thicker than the lower part of the can to comply with this rule.

The argument by applicant that this subject matter is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention is deemed moot in view of the above drawing requirement under 37 CFR 1.83(a).

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 703-308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:15-3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John S. Maples Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

JSM December 1, 2003